UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/589,363 | 08/14/2006 | Dan Pitulia 8 | 43318-232754 | 6823 |
| 26694 VENABLE LLI | 590 01/17/2008 | | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. BOX 3438 | - | | HOPKINS, CHRISTINE D | |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20043-9998 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3735 | |
| | | | N. II. D. III. | DEL MEDITA CODE |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/17/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|----------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/589,363 | PITULIA, DAN | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| CHRISTINE D. HOPKINS | 3735 | |

| | CHRISTINE D. HOPKINS | 3735 | |
|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>07 December 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance w | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fextensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). On which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original. | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII 36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate analy set in the final Office | e extension fee ate extension; or (2) as |
| may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | • | • | • |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief, | will not be entered be | cause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bettee appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); eer form for appeal by materially rec | E below); ducing or simplifying th | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1) | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | · | | , |
| non-allowable claim(s). | owabie ii subiliitted iii a separate, t | illiely liled afficilidifier | it canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 7-12. | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will ided below or appended. | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | ıl and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Charles A. Marmor, II/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3735 | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has amended the claims to include new limitations which would require a further search. For instance, at line 5 of claim 1, the claim language now recites a bone conducting hearing aid configured "to receive sound," and carry out signal processing "on the sound." At line 2 of claim 7, the new claim language requires a directional microphone that is "directed in a forward direction in front of the user." These proposed amendments would invoke a new search and will therefore not be entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are contingent on the entry of the proposed amendments and since the proposed amendments were not entered for the reasons above, the arguments will not be addressed further at this time.